



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,711	11/26/2003	Paul S. Martin	LUM-03-05-02	7850

32566 7590 10/17/2006

PATENT LAW GROUP LLP  
2635 NORTH FIRST STREET  
SUITE 223  
SAN JOSE, CA 95134

EXAMINER

CRANSON JR, JAMES W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2875

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006


Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/723,711	MARTIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James W. Cranson	2875	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 19 September 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:
- a) ☐ entered.
  - b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
  - c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.  
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
  - d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below.
  - e) ☒ entered in part. See explanation below.

*The amendment to claim 17 is approved and entered since applicant obviously made an error because shell in not in the body of the claim and optical reflector is positively recited. Applicant arguments with respect to the "Examiner's paraphrase in the Notice of Allowability" have been considered but are not persuasive*

  
Sandra O'Shea  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2800

.of